arXiv:1606.07699v1 [math.DG] 24 Jun 2016

GRAVITATING VORTICES AND THE EINSTEIN–BOGOMOL'NYI EQUATIONS

LUIS ÁLVAREZ-CÓNSUL, MARIO GARCIA-FERNANDEZ, AND OSCAR GARCÍA-PRADA

Abstract. In this paper we consider the gravitating vortex equations. These are equations coupling a metric over a compact Riemann surface with a hermitian metric over a holomorphic line bundle equipped with a fixed global section — the Higgs field. These equations appear as dimensional reduction of the Kähler–Yang–Mills equations on a rank two vector bundle over the product of the complex projective line with the Riemann surface, and have a symplectic interpretation as moment map equations. As a particular case of the gravitating vortex equations on $\mathbb{P}^1$ we find the Einstein–Bogomol'nyi equations, previously studied in the theory of cosmic strings in physics. Our main result in this paper is giving a converse to an existence theorem of Yisong Yang for the Einstein–Bogomol'nyi equations, establishing in this way a correspondence with Geometric Invariant Theory for these equations. In particular, we prove a conjecture by Yang about the non-existence of cosmic strings on $\mathbb{P}^1$ superimposed at a single point.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. The gravitating vortex equations 4
3. The symplectic origin of the gravitating vortex equations 7
4. Reductive Lie algebras and gravitating vortices 10
5. A Futaki invariant for gravitating vortices 14
6. From gravitating vortices to polystability 19
References 23

1. Introduction

In [2] we introduced the gravitating vortex equations. Let Σ be a compact Riemann surface. Let L be a holomorphic line bundle over Σ and ϕ a holomorphic global section of L. The

Partially supported by the Spanish MINECO under ICMAT Severo Ochoa project No. SEV-2015-0554, and under grant No. MTM2013-43963-P. The work of the second author has been partially supported by the Nigel Hitchin Laboratory under the ICMAT Severo Ochoa grant. The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 Programme (H2020-MSCA-IF-2014) under grant agreement No. 655162, and by the European Commission Marie Curie IRSES MODULI Programme PIRSES-GA-2013-612534.

gravitating vortex equations, for fixed constant parameters $\alpha, \tau \in \mathbb{R}$, are

$$\begin{aligned} i\Lambda F + \frac{1}{2}(|\phi|^2 - \tau) &= 0, \\ S + \alpha(\Delta + \tau)(|\phi|^2 - \tau) &= c. \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

The unknowns of these equations are a Kähler metric g_Σ on Σ and a hermitian metric h on L. Here, F is the curvature of the Chern connection of h, ΛF is its contraction by the Kähler form of g_Σ, $|\phi|$ is the pointwise norm of ϕ with respect to h, S is the scalar curvature of g_Σ, and Δ is the Laplacian of the metric on the surface acting on functions. The constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$ is topological, as it can be obtained by integrating (1.1) over Σ. Explicitly, it is

$$c = \frac{2\pi(\chi(\Sigma) - 2\alpha\tau c_1(L))}{\mathrm{Vol}_\omega(\Sigma)}. \tag{1.2}$$

The gravitating vortex equations were obtained in [2] as a dimensional reduction of the Kähler–Yang–Mills equations on a rank 2 vector bundle over the product of Σ with the complex projective line.

Solutions of the first equation in (1.1), known as the vortex equation (also known as Bogomol'nyi equations in the abelian Higgs model) are called vortices, and have been extensively studied in the literature after the seminal work of Jaffe and Taubes [26, 44] on the Euclidean plane, and Witten on the hyperbolic plane [51]. It is known [7, 21, 38] that the existence of solutions (with $\phi \neq 0$) is equivalent to the inequality

$$c_1(L) < \frac{\tau \,\mathrm{Vol}(\Sigma)}{4\pi}. \tag{1.3}$$

As proved by the third author [22], this result follows the same principles as the theorem of Donaldson, Uhlenbeck and Yau [15, 49] — relating the existence of solutions of the hermitian-Yang-Mills equations with an algebraic numerical condition —, and indeed can be obtained as a corollary of this correspondence [21].

When $c = 0$ and $c_1(L) > 0$, $\alpha > 0$ the gravitating vortex equations have a special significance, since they are equivalent to the Einstein–Bogomol'nyi equations on a Riemann surface [52, 53]. Solutions of the Einstein–Bogomol'nyi equations are known in the physics literature as Nielsen–Olesen cosmic strings [37], and describe a special class of solutions of the abelian Higgs model coupled with gravity in four dimensions (with Minkowski-signature metric) [13, 30, 31]. In these equations $\alpha/2\pi$ is the gravitational constant. For non-compact Σ, the analysis of this self-dual system carried out during the early nineties gave rise to the construction of continuous families of finite-energy cosmic string solutions [10, 40, 41, 53, 55]. For compact Σ, Yang [55, 56] observed that the only possible topology supporting solutions of the Einstein–Bogomol'nyi equations is the Riemann sphere $\Sigma = \mathbb{P}^1$, as indeed follows from (1.2), since $\chi(\Sigma) > 0$ in this case (if $\phi \neq 0$).

In this paper, we pursue an analogue of the theorem of Donaldson, Uhlenbeck and Yau [15, 49] for the gravitating vortex equations (1.1), in the case $\alpha > 0$. The first clue pointing out to such a correspondence for $\Sigma = \mathbb{P}^1$ lies in Yang's existence result [55, 56], reformulated more elegantly in the language of Mumford's Geometric Invariant Theory (GIT) [35] (see [2]).

Theorem 1.1 (Yang's existence theorem)**.** *Suppose $c = 0$ and (1.3) is satisfied. Let $D = \sum n_j p_j$ be an effective divisor on $\mathbb{P}^1$ corresponding to a pair (L, ϕ). Then, the Einstein–Bogomol'nyi equations on $(\mathbb{P}^1, L, \phi)$ have solutions, provided that the divisor D is GIT polystable for the canonical linearized $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})$-action on the space of effective divisors.*

Our main result in this paper is the following converse to Theorem 1.1, for the more general gravitating vortex equations.

Theorem 1.2. *If $(\mathbb{P}^1, L, \phi)$ admits a solution of the gravitating vortex equations with $\alpha > 0$, then (1.3) holds and the divisor D is polystable for the $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})$-action.*

The key idea for its proof comes from the observation that the powerful methods of the theory of symplectic and GIT quotients are ideally suited to analyze the gravitating vortex equations.

Combining now Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, we obtain a correspondence theorem for the Einstein–Bogomol'nyi equations.

Theorem 1.3. *A triple $(\mathbb{P}^1, L, \phi)$ with $\phi \neq 0$ admits a solution of the Einstein–Bogomol'nyi equations if and only (1.3) holds and the divisor D is polystable for the $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})$-action.*

Note that Theorem 1.3 does not claim uniqueness of solutions modulo automorphisms of $(\mathbb{P}^1, L, \phi)$. However this should be expected on general grounds, as our methods rely on appropriate versions of techniques developed over the years for the recently solved Kähler–Einstein problem [9] (see also [5, 14, 11, 47]). In fact, Theorem 1.3 can be seen as a 2-dimensional toy model for this classical problem. As in this case, uniqueness is a delicate issue, related to the geodesic equation in the space of Kähler potentials [16, 32, 42]. The parallel with the Kähler–Einstein problem gives further support to our expectation that, for genus bigger than zero, the equations can always be solved for $\alpha > 0$ (cf. [3, 58, 59]).

Theorem 1.3 clarifies Yang's guess [56] that the location of the zeros of ϕ should "play an important role to global existence" and his observation that the condition corresponding to strict polystability is a "borderline situation" (with solutions preserved by an S^1-action). By comparison with the case $D = np$ (where he proved solutions cannot be S^1-symmetric [56, Theorem 1.1(ii)]), he stated the following (see also [57, p. 437]).

Conjecture 1.4 (Yang's conjecture)**.** *There is no solution of the Einstein–Bogomol'nyi equations for N strings superimposed at a single point, that is, when $D = Np$.*

Using our approach to the Einstein–Bogomol'nyi equations via symplectic and algebraic geometry, we settle Conjecture 1.4 in the affirmative (Section 4.3).

The symplectic interpretation of the gravitating vortex equations is not surprinsing, if we consider that they are dimensional reduction of the Kähler–Yang–Mills equations, which themselves are derived from symplectic geometry [1, 19]. However, in this paper, following the methods of [1, 19], we directly show that the gravitating vortex equations appear as moment map equations. From this fact and the general theory for coupled equations developed in [1] we construct obstructions to the existence of solutions for the gravitating vortex equations on $\mathbb{P}^1$ (see Sections 4–6), providing two different proofs of Yang's Conjecture 1.4. The first one (Corollary 4.8) follows from an analogue of the Matsushima–Lichnerowicz Theorem [33, 29] (see Theorem 4.1). The second proof is obtained by construction and direct evaluation of a Futaki invariant for the gravitating vortex equations on $\mathbb{P}^1$, and leads

to a stronger result (Theorem 4.7). Section 6.2 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.3. In Section 6.3, we explain the role of the homogeneous complex Monge–Ampère equation in the problem of uniqueness of solutions in arbitrary genus, and provide some conjectures about existence and uniqueness. In particular, we propose a conjectural explicit description of the moduli space of gravitating vortices.

2. The gravitating vortex equations

In this section we introduce the gravitating vortex equations and reformulate Yang's Existence Theorem in the language of Geometric Invariant Theory.

2.1. **Gravitating vortices.** Let Σ be a compact connected Riemann surface of arbitrary genus, L a holomorphic line bundle over Σ, and ϕ a global holomorphic section of L. Fix $\tau, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, respectively called the *symmetry breaking parameter* and the *coupling constant*.

Definition 2.1. The *gravitating vortex equations*, for a Kähler metric on Σ with Kähler form ω and a hermitian metric h on L, are

$$\begin{aligned} i\Lambda_\omega F_h + \frac{1}{2}(|\phi|_h^2 - \tau) &= 0, \\ S_\omega + \alpha(\Delta_\omega + \tau)(|\phi|_h^2 - \tau) &= c. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

In (2.1), F_h is the curvature 2-form of the Chern connection of h, $\Lambda_\omega F_h \in C^\infty(\Sigma)$ is its contraction with ω, $|\phi|_h \in C^\infty(\Sigma)$ is the pointwise norm of ϕ with respect to h, S_ω is the scalar curvature of ω (as usual, Kähler metrics will be identified with their associated Kähler forms), and Δ_ω is the Laplace operator for the metric ω, given by

$$\Delta_\omega f = 2i\Lambda_\omega \bar\partial \partial f,$$

for $f \in C^\infty(\Sigma)$. The constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$ is topological, and is explicitly given by

$$c = \frac{2\pi(\chi(\Sigma) - 2\alpha\tau c_1(L))}{\mathrm{Vol}_\omega(\Sigma)}, \tag{2.2}$$

with $\mathrm{Vol}_\omega(\Sigma) := \int_\Sigma \omega$, as can be deduced by integrating (2.1) over Σ.

Given a fixed Kähler metric ω, the first equation in (2.1), that is,

$$i\Lambda_\omega F_h + \frac{1}{2}(|\phi|_h^2 - \tau) = 0 \tag{2.3}$$

is the *vortex equation* for a hermitian metric h on L, also known as the Bogomol'nyi equations in the abelian-Higgs model. The solutions of (2.3) are called *vortices* and correspond to the absolute minima of an energy functional [7, 22].

If $\phi = 0$, then the existence of solutions of (2.3) is equivalent by the Hodge Theorem to the numerical condition $c_1(L) = \tau\,\mathrm{Vol}_\omega(\Sigma)/4\pi$. For $\phi \neq 0$, Noguchi [38], Bradlow [7] and the third author [21, 22] gave independently and with different methods the following characterization of the existence of vortices.

Theorem 2.2. *Assume that $\phi \neq 0$. Then, for every fixed Kähler form ω, there exists a unique solution h of the vortex equation* (2.3) *if and only if*

$$c_1(L) < \frac{\tau\,\mathrm{Vol}_\omega(\Sigma)}{4\pi}. \tag{2.4}$$

Finding a solution of the vortex equation (2.3) is not enough to solve the more complicated system of equations in Definition 2.1. As explained in Section 1, the gravitating vortex equations (2.1) describe vortices on a Riemann surface coupled with the Kähler metric ω, as the second equation in (2.1) intertwines the scalar curvature of ω with the function $|\phi|_h^2$. The *gravitating vortices*, that is, the solutions of (2.1), are the main subject of the present paper. An important goal of our study, partially achieved in Theorem 1.3, is to find an analogue of Theorem (2.2) for gravitating vortices.

We discuss next two simple examples, where it is straightforward to characterize the existence of gravitating vortices.

Example 2.3. If $\phi = 0$, then the existence of solutions of (2.3) is equivalent to the numerical condition $c_1(L) = \tau \operatorname{Vol}_\omega(\Sigma)/4\pi$. This follows from the fact that for $\phi = 0$, the first and second equations in (2.1) reduce to the conditions that h is a Hermite–Einstein metric on L and ω is a constant scalar curvature Kähler metric on Σ, respectively. Therefore, the equivalence is a consequence of the Hodge Theorem applied to the equation in $u \in C^\infty(\Sigma)$ obtained from (2.3) by making a conformal transformation $h' = e^{2u}h$ to a fixed h, and the Uniformization Theorem for Riemann surfaces.

Example 2.4. If $\phi \neq 0$ and $c_1(L) = 0$, the gravitating vortex equations (2.1) always have a solution for $\tau > 0$. This follows from the fact that if $c_1(L) = 0$ and $H^0(\Sigma, L) \neq 0$, then $L \cong \mathcal{O}_\Sigma$ (see e.g. [25, Ch. IV]). By Theorem 2.2, for any choice of Kähler metric ω on Σ, the unique solution of (2.3) is the constant hermitian metric h on the trivial line bundle L, satisfying $|\phi|_h^2 = \tau$. We conclude that the unique solutions of (2.1) in this case are pairs (ω, h) such that h is constant, $|\phi|_h^2 = \tau$, and ω has constant scalar curvature.

The conditions $\phi \neq 0$ and $c_1(L) > 0$ will be assumed throughout the rest of this paper. With these assumptions, the existence of a gravitating vortex implies $\tau > 0$, by (2.4) in Theorem 2.2, and thus we fix $\tau > 0$ in the sequel. We will also impose the condition $\alpha > 0$, as this enables one to apply methods of the theory of Kähler quotients (see Section 3). Note that this last restriction is not required for the deformation-theoretic argument in [1, Theorem 4.1], but it is necessary for the proof of [1, Theorem 5.4] .

The sign of c plays an important role in the problem of existence of gravitating vortices. For instance, for $c \geq 0$, the existence of gravitating vortices forces the topology of the surface to be that of the 2-sphere, because $c_1(L) > 0$ implies $\chi(\Sigma) > 0$ by (2.2). The important case $c = 0$ is treated in Section 2.2.

2.2. **The Einstein-Bogomol'nyi equations.** When c in (2.2) is zero, the gravitating vortex equations (2.1) turn out to be a system of partial differential equations that have been extensively studied in the physics literature. Following Yang [52, 54], we will refer to them as the *Einstein–Bogomol'nyi equations*:

$$\begin{aligned} i\Lambda_\omega F_h + \frac{1}{2}(|\phi|_h^2 - \tau) &= 0, \\ S_\omega + \alpha(\Delta_\omega + \tau)(|\phi|_h^2 - \tau) &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

As observed by Yang [55, Section 1.2.1], the existence of solutions of (2.5) constrains the topology of Σ to be the complex projective line (or 2-sphere) $\mathbb{P}^1$, since $c = 0$ if and only if

$$\chi(\Sigma) = 2\alpha\tau c_1(L).$$

The particular features of the Einstein–Bogomol'nyi equations (2.5) are better observed using the Kazdan–Warner type formulation of the gravitating vortex equations (2.1), as derived in [2, Lemma 4.3]. For this, we fix a constant scalar curvature metric ω on Σ and the unique hermitian metric h on L with constant $\Lambda_\omega F_h$, and apply conformal changes to these metrics. The equations (2.1) for $\omega' = e^{2u}\omega, h' = e^{2f}h$, with $u, f \in C^\infty(\Sigma)$, are equivalent to (cf. [55])

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta f + \frac{1}{2}e^{2u}(e^{2f}|\phi|^2 - \tau) &= -c_1(L), \\ \Delta\left(u + \alpha e^{2f}|\phi|^2 - 2\alpha\tau f\right) + c(1 - e^{2u}) &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Here, Δ is the Laplacian of the fixed metric ω, normalized to have volume 2π, and $|\phi|$ is the pointwise norm with respect to the fixed metric h on L. In the case $c = 0$, for $L = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(N)$ this system reduces to a single partial differential equation

$$\Delta f + \frac{1}{2}e^{2u}(e^{2f}|\phi|^2 - \tau) = -N, \tag{2.7}$$

for a function $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{P}^1)$, where

$$u = 2\alpha\tau f - \alpha e^{2f}|\phi|^2 + c',$$

and c' is a real constant that can be chosen at will. By studying the Liouville type equation (2.7) on $\mathbb{P}^1$, Yang [55, 56] proved the existence of solutions of the Einstein–Bogomol'nyi equations under certain numerical conditions on the zeros of ϕ, to which he refers as "technical restriction" [55, Section 1.3]. It turns out that these conditions have a precise algebro-geometric meaning in the context of Mumford's Geometric Invariant Theory (GIT) [35], as a consequence of the following standard result.

Proposition 2.5 ([35, Ch. 4, Proposition 4.1])**.** *Consider the space of effective divisors on $\mathbb{P}^1$ with its canonical linearised $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})$-action. Let $D = \sum_j n_j p_j$ be an effective divisor, for finitely many different points $p_j \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and integers $n_j > 0$ such that $N = \sum_j n_j$. Then*

1. *D is stable if and only if $n_j < \frac{N}{2}$ for all j.*
2. *D is strictly polystable if and only if $D = \frac{N}{2}p_1 + \frac{N}{2}p_2$, where $p_1 \neq p_2$ and N is even.*
3. *D is unstable if and only if there exists $p_j \in D$ such that $n_j > \frac{N}{2}$.*

Using Proposition 2.5, Yang's existence theorem has the following reformulation, where "GIT polystable" means either conditions (1) or (2) of Proposition 2.5 are satisfied, and

$$D = \sum_j n_j p_j$$

is the effective divisor on $\mathbb{P}^1$ corresponding to a pair (L, ϕ), with $N = \sum_j n_j = c_1(L)$.

Theorem 2.6 (Yang's Existence Theorem)**.** *Assume that* (2.4) *holds. Then, there exists a solution of the Einstein–Bogomol'nyi equations* (2.5) *on $(\mathbb{P}^1, L, \phi)$ if D is GIT polystable for the canonical linearised $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})$-action of the space of effective divisors.*

For the benefit of the reader, we comment briefly on the proof. If D is stable, then the existence of solutions of the Einstein–Bogomol'nyi equations follows by Yang's result [55, Theorem 1.2] and part (1) of Proposition 2.5. Yang also proved [56, Theorem 1.1(i)] that the Einstein–Bogomol'nyi equations have a solution if $D = \frac{N}{2}p + \frac{N}{2}\overline{p}$, for N even and antipodal points $p, \overline{p}$ on $\mathbb{P}^1$, and that this solution admits an S^1-symmetry given by

rotation along the $\{p, \overline{p}\}$ axis. If D is an arbitrary strictly polystable effective divisor, so $D = \frac{N}{2}p_1 + \frac{N}{2}p_2$ as in part (2) of Proposition 2.5, then the existence of solutions of the Einstein–Bogomol'nyi equations follows from Yang's result, by pulling back his solution by an element of $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})$ mapping p_1, p_2 to a pair of antipodal points.

As mentioned in Section 1, regarding obstructions to the existence of solutions of (2.5) Yang formulated Conjecture 1.4 in [56, Section 6, p. 590] (later stated as an open problem [57, p. 437]), which corresponds to the case of unstable effective divisor $D = Np$. The proof of Yang's Conjecture will be addressed in Section 4.3.

3. The symplectic origin of the gravitating vortex equations

The gravitating vortex equations were first obtained [2] by dimensional reduction of the Kähler–Yang–Mills equations [1, 19], whereby the solutions acquired an interpretation as the zeros of a moment map in the general theory of symplectic quotients, for suitable infinite-dimensional manifolds. A direct approach to this moment-map interpretation, as described in this section, is however better suited to prove obstructions for the existence of gravitating vortices in the next sections (it will rely on previous calculations [17, 20]).

3.1. **A hamiltonian action on the space of sections of a line bundle.** Let S be a compact connected oriented smooth surface and L a C^∞ line bundle over S, respectively endowed with a symplectic form ω and a hermitian metric h. The group of symmetries of our moment-map construction is the *extended gauge group* $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ of (L,h) and (S,ω), given by an extension

$$1 \to \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{G}} \xrightarrow{\ p\ } \mathcal{H} \to 1, \tag{3.1}$$

of the group $\mathcal{H}$ of Hamiltonian symplectomorphisms of (S,ω) by the unitary gauge group $\mathcal{G}$ of (L,h). More precisely, $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ is the group of automorphisms of the hermitian line bundle (L,h) that cover elements of the group $\mathcal{H}$, and p maps any element of $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ into the element of $\mathcal{H}$ that it covers.

For each unitary connection A on (L,h), $A\zeta$ denotes the corresponding vertical component of a vector field ζ on the total space of L, and $A^\perp y$ denotes the horizontal lift of a vector field y on S to a vector field on the total space of L. Then the decompositions $\zeta = A\zeta + A^\perp y$, with $y = p(\zeta)$, determine a vector-space splitting of the Lie-algebra short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Lie}\,\mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Lie}\,\widetilde{\mathcal{G}} \xrightarrow{\ p\ } \mathrm{Lie}\,\mathcal{H} \to 0 \tag{3.2}$$

associated to (3.1), because $A^\perp\eta \in \mathrm{Lie}\,\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ for all $\eta \in \mathrm{Lie}\,\mathcal{H}$. Note also that the equation

$$\eta_f \lrcorner \omega = df \tag{3.3}$$

determines an isomorphism between the space $\mathrm{Lie}\,\mathcal{H}$ of Hamiltonian vector fields $\eta = \eta_f$ on S, and the space $C^\infty_0(S,\omega)$ of smooth functions f on S such that $\int_S f\omega = 0$.

We start describing a Hamiltonian $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$-action on the space $\Omega^0(L)$ of smooth global sections of L over S. This vector space has a symplectic form ω_Ω determined by ω and h, given by

$$\omega_\Omega(\dot\phi_1, \dot\phi_2) = -\,\mathrm{Im}\int_S (\dot\phi_1, \dot\phi_2)_h \omega,$$

where $\dot\phi_1, \dot\phi_2 \in \Omega^0(L)$ are regarded as tangent vectors at any $\phi \in \Omega^0(L)$. The 2-form ω_Ω is exact, that is,

$$\omega_\Omega = d\sigma,$$

where the 1-form σ on $\Omega^0(L)$ is given by

$$\sigma_{|\phi}(\dot{\phi}) = -\operatorname{Im}\int_S (\dot{\phi},\phi)_h\omega,$$

for all $\phi \in \Omega^0(L)$ and $\dot{\phi} \in \Omega^0(L) \cong T_\phi\Omega^0(L)$. Furthermore, ω_Ω is a Kähler 2-form with respect to the canonical complex structure on $\Omega^0(L)$ given by multiplication by $i = \sqrt{-1}$. We observe now that $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ has a canonical action on $\Omega^0(L)$, defined by

$$(g\cdot\phi)(x) := g(\phi(p(g)^{-1}x)), \tag{3.4}$$

for all $g \in \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}, \phi \in \Omega^0(L), x \in S$, where p is the map in (3.1).

Lemma 3.1. *The $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$-action on $\Omega^0(L)$ is Hamiltonian, with equivariant moment map*

$$\mu\colon \Omega^0(L) \longrightarrow (\operatorname{Lie}\widetilde{\mathcal{G}})^*$$

given by

$$\langle\mu,\zeta\rangle = -\sigma(Y_\zeta),$$

where Y_ζ denotes the infinitesimal action of $\zeta \in \operatorname{Lie}\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ on $\Omega^0(L)$. For any choice of unitary connection A on L, the moment map is given explicitly by

$$\langle\mu(\phi),\zeta\rangle = \frac{i}{2}\int_S A\zeta|\phi|_h^2\omega - \frac{i}{2}\int_S f d(d_A\phi,\phi)_h \tag{3.5}$$

for all $\phi \in \Omega^0(L)$ and $\zeta \in \operatorname{Lie}\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ covering $\eta_f \in \operatorname{Lie}\mathcal{H}$, with $f \in C_0^\infty(S)$.

Proof. The first part follows trivially because $\omega_\Omega = d\sigma$ and σ is $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$-invariant. To prove (3.5), we fix a unitary connection A, so the infinitesimal action of $\operatorname{Lie}\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ on $\Omega^0(L)$ is given by [20]

$$Y_{\zeta|\phi} = -\check{\zeta}\lrcorner d_A\phi + A\zeta\cdot\phi,$$

for all $\zeta \in \operatorname{Lie}\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ and $\phi \in \Omega^0(L)$, with $\check{\zeta} := p(\zeta)$. Then $\check{\zeta} = \eta_f$, where $f \in C_0^\infty(S)$, so

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\mu(\phi),\zeta\rangle &= \frac{i}{2}\int_S(-\check{\zeta}\lrcorner d_A\phi + A\zeta\cdot\phi,\phi)_h\omega\\ &= \frac{i}{2}\int_S(A\zeta\cdot\phi,\phi)_h\omega - \frac{i}{2}\int_S f d(d_A\phi,\phi)_h,\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the identity

$$(\check{\zeta}\lrcorner d_A\phi)\omega = -df\wedge d_A\phi.$$ □

3.2. **From Kähler reduction to gravitating vortices.** Let $\mathcal{J}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ be the spaces of almost complex structures on S compatible with ω and unitary connections on (L,h), respectively; their respective elements will usually be denoted J and A. The spaces $\mathcal{J}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ have a natural action by $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ and admit $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$-invariant symplectic structures $\omega_\mathcal{J}$ and $\omega_\mathcal{A}$ induced by ω. Consider now the space of triples

$$\mathcal{J}\times\mathcal{A}\times\Omega^0(L), \tag{3.6}$$

endowed with the symplectic structure

$$\omega_\mathcal{J} + 4\alpha\omega_\mathcal{A} + 4\alpha\omega_\Omega \tag{3.7}$$

(for any non-zero coupling constant α). By Lemma 3.1 combined with [19, Proposition 2.3.1], the diagonal action of $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ on this space is Hamiltonian, with equivariant moment map $\mu_\alpha\colon \mathcal{J}\times\mathcal{A}\times\Omega^0(L)\to(\operatorname{Lie}\widetilde{\mathcal{G}})^*$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\mu_\alpha(J,A,\phi),\zeta\rangle =& 4i\alpha\int_S \operatorname{tr} A\zeta\wedge\left(i\Lambda F_A+\frac{1}{2}|\phi|_h^2-\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\omega\\ &-\int_S f\left(S_J+2i\alpha\left(d(d_A\phi,\phi)_h-\tau\Lambda F_A\right)\right)\omega,\end{aligned}\tag{3.8}$$

for any choice of a parameter $\tau\in\mathbb{R}$.

To make the link with the gravitating vortex equations (2.1), consider the space of 'integrable triples'

$$\mathcal{T}\subset\mathcal{J}\times\mathcal{A}\times\Omega^0(L)$$

defined by

$$\mathcal{T}:=\{(J,A,\phi)\mid \bar\partial_A\phi=0\}.\tag{3.9}$$

Then $\mathcal{T}$ is a complex submanifold (away from its singularities) for the product formally integrable almost complex structure on the space (3.6) (see [1, (2.45)]). Moreover, it is preserved by the $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$-action and, by the condition $\alpha>0$, it inherits a Hamiltonian action for the Kähler form induced by (3.7).

Proposition 3.2. *The $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$-action on $\mathcal{T}$ is Hamiltonian with $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$-equivariant moment map $\mu_\alpha\colon\mathcal{T}\to(\operatorname{Lie}\widetilde{\mathcal{G}})^*$ given by*

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\mu_\alpha(J,A,\phi),\zeta\rangle =& 4i\alpha\int_S A\zeta\left(i\Lambda F_A+\frac{1}{2}|\phi|_h^2-\frac{\tau}{2}\right)\omega\\ &-\int_M f\left(S_J+\alpha\Delta_\omega|\phi|_h^2-2\alpha\tau i\Lambda F_A\right)\omega.\end{aligned}\tag{3.10}$$

for all $(J,A,\phi)\in\mathcal{T}$ and $\zeta\in\operatorname{Lie}\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ covering $\eta_f\in\operatorname{Lie}\mathcal{H}$, where $f\in C_0^\infty(S)$.

Proof. Since $(J,A,\phi)\in\mathcal{T}$, we have $\bar\partial_A\phi=0$ and hence

$$\Delta_\omega|\phi|_h^2=2i\Lambda\bar\partial\partial|\phi|_h^2=2i\Lambda d(\partial_A\phi,\phi)_h=2i\Lambda d(d_A\phi,\phi)_h.$$

The statement follows now from (3.8). □

It can be readily checked that the zeros of the moment map μ_α, restricted to the space of integrable pairs, correspond to solutions of the gravitating vortex equations

$$\begin{aligned}i\Lambda F_A+\frac{1}{2}(|\phi|_h^2-\tau)&=0,\\ \bar\partial_A\phi&=0,\\ S_J+\alpha(\Delta_\omega+\tau)(|\phi|_h^2-\tau)&=c,\end{aligned}\tag{3.11}$$

where the topological constant $c\in\mathbb{R}$ is explicitly given by

$$c=\frac{2\pi(\chi(S)-2\alpha\tau c_1(L))}{\operatorname{Vol}_\omega(S)}.\tag{3.12}$$

Given a solution of (3.11), considering the complex structure on S given by J, the holomorphic structure on the line bundle L given by A and the holomorphic section ϕ, we can regard (ω,h) as a solution of the gravitating vortex equations (2.1) as originally stated in Section 2. Conversely, any solution (ω,h) of (2.1), for a holomorphic line bundle with a

global section over a compact Riemann surface, determines a solution of (3.11) by taking A to be the Chern connection of h.

By Proposition 3.2, the moduli space of solutions of the gravitating vortex equations (3.11) can be identified with the symplectic quotient

$$\mu_\alpha^{-1}(0)/\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}. \tag{3.13}$$

Away from its singularities, this is a Kähler quotient for the action of $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ on the smooth part of $\mathcal{T}$, equipped with a Kähler form induced by the restriction of (3.7).

We note that the moduli space of solutions of the vortex equations (2.3) admits a similar Kähler reduction interpretation: setting $N = c_1(L)$, by Theorem 2.2, the moduli space of vortices can be identified as a complex manifold with $S^N\Sigma$, the N-th symmetric product of the Riemann surface [7, 21, 22].

4. Reductive Lie algebras and gravitating vortices

In this section we give an affirmative answer to Yang's Conjecture 1.4. For this, we consider triples (Σ, L, ϕ), given by a Riemann surface Σ, a line bundle L over Σ and a holomorphic section ϕ of L, and study the complex Lie algebra of the corresponding group of automorphisms $\mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma, L, \phi)$. The proof of Yang's Conjecture follows from a analogue of Matsushima-Lichnerowicz Theorem [29, 33] for the gravitating vortex equations, which relates the existence of a solution on (Σ, L, ϕ) with the reductivity of $\mathrm{Lie}\,\mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma, L, \phi)$.

4.1. **Matsushima-Lichnerowicz for gravitating vortices.** Let Σ be a compact Riemann surface of arbitrary genus $g(\Sigma)$, L a holomorphic line bundle over Σ with $c_1(L) > 0$, and $\phi \in H^0(\Sigma, L)$ a non-zero section. The *automorphism group* of the pair (Σ, L) is the group $\mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma, L)$ of $\mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant automorphisms of the total space of the holomorphic line bundle L, with the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action on L given by fibrewise scalar multiplication. As $\mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant automorphisms of L preserve the zero section, there is a canonical exact sequence

$$1 \to \mathbb{C}^* \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma, L) \xrightarrow{p} \mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma), \tag{4.1}$$

where $\mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma)$ is the automorphism group of Σ and the right-hand arrow maps any $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma, L)$ into the unique $p(g) = \check{g} \in \mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma)$ covered by g. The *automorphism group* of the triple (Σ, L, ϕ) is the isotropy subgroup

$$\mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma, L, \phi) \subset \mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma, L) \tag{4.2}$$

of ϕ for the induced action of $\mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma, L)$ on $H^0(\Sigma, L)$. By a result of Morimoto [34, p. 158], $\mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma, L)$ (with the compact-open topology) is a complex Lie group, and the action of $\mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma, L)$ on L and the right-hand map in (4.1) are both holomorphic [34, Section 7]. Let

$$\mathrm{Lie}\,\mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma, L, \phi) \subset \mathrm{Lie}\,\mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma, L) \tag{4.3}$$

be the Lie algebras of $\mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma, L, \phi) \subset \mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma, L)$, respectively. By definition of $\mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma, L)$, $\mathrm{Lie}\,\mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma, L)$ consists of the $\mathbb{C}^*$-invariant holomorphic vector fields on the total space of L, and so $\mathrm{Lie}\,\mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma, L, \phi)$ consists of those vector fields with zero infinitesimal action on $\phi \in H^0(\Sigma, L)$.

In this section, we obtain a first class of obstructions to the existence of gravitating vortices on (Σ, L, ϕ), in terms of the complex Lie algebra $\mathrm{Lie}\,\mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma, L, \phi)$.

Theorem 4.1. *If (Σ, L, ϕ) admits a solution of the gravitating vortex equations, then the Lie algebra of* $\mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma, L, \phi)$ *is reductive.*

This result should be compared with the Matsushima–Lichnerowicz Theorem [29, 33], which states that the Lie algebra of holomorphic vector fields of a compact Kähler manifold with constant scalar curvature is reductive. Our proof breaks up into two separate cases, depending on whether Σ has positive or zero genus, respectively. The positive genus case is a formality, and follows from the fact that $\mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma, L)$ is discrete in this case. We give a proof of this basic fact in Proposition 4.3 for the benefit of the reader. The genus zero case is discussed in Section 4.2.

We will use the following description of $\mathrm{Lie}\,\mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma, L)$ (see e.g. [17, p. 490]).

Lemma 4.2. *A $\mathbb{C}^*$-invariant vector field y on the total space of L belongs to* $\mathrm{Lie}\,\mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma, L)$ *if and only if for any choice of hermitian metric h on L the following equation is satisfied:*

$$\bar{\partial}(A_h y) + \iota_{\check{y}^{1,0}} F_h = 0. \tag{4.4}$$

In (4.4) A_h is the Chern connection of the hermitian metric h and $\check{y}$ denotes the unique holomorphic vector field on Σ covered by y.

Proposition 4.3. *If $g(\Sigma) > 0$, then the group* $\mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma, L, \phi)$ *is discrete.*

Proof. Let $y \in \mathrm{Lie}\,\mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma, L, \phi)$. We will show that y is vertical, that is, the holomorphic vector field $\check{y} \in \mathrm{Lie}\,\mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma)$ covered by y is zero. The result will then follow because the only holomorphic vertical vector fields are $y = t\mathbf{1}$, for constant $t \in \mathbb{C}$, where $\mathbf{1}$ is the tautological vector field on the fibres, and so the condition that y fixes $\phi \neq 0$ implies $y = 0$. If $g(\Sigma) > 1$, the fact that $\check{y} = 0$ is deduced, e.g., because there exists a negative curvature Kähler metric on Σ. Suppose now $g(\Sigma) = 1$. Then, there exists a flat Kähler metric on Σ, so either $\check{y}$ has no zeros or it vanishes identically, as it is necessarily parallel with respect to the flat Kähler metric (see e.g. [23]). Now, by assumption $c_1(L) > 0$, so L is ample [25, Ch IV, Cor. 3.3], and therefore there exists a hermitian metric h on L such that $\omega = iF_h$ is a Kähler metric on Σ. But Lemma 4.2 applied to y and the hermitian metric h imply

$$-i\bar{\partial}(A_h y) = i_{\check{y}^{1,0}}\omega, \tag{4.5}$$

that is, $A_h y$, identified with a complex function on Σ, is a complex potential for $\check{y}$. Therefore, $\check{y}$ vanishes somewhere on Σ (see [27]) and hence it identically vanishes. □

Remark 4.4. Theorem 4.1 can be extended to cover the cases in Example 2.3 and Example 2.4, for which the Lie algebra of $\mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma, L, \phi)$ is always reductive. To illustrate this, consider the seconde case, corresponding to $\phi \neq 0$ and $c_1(L) = 0$. Then, it is easy to check that $\mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma, L, \phi) \cong \mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma)$, and thus $\mathrm{Lie}\,\mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma, L, \phi)$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{C})$, $H^1(\Sigma, \mathbb{C})$ or is trivial, if, respectively, $g(\Sigma) = 0$, $g(\Sigma) = 1$ or $g(\Sigma) > 1$.

4.2. **Genus zero.** Our proof of Theorem 4.1 in the remaining case $\Sigma = \mathbb{P}^1$, whereby we provide a first obstruction to the existence of gravitating vortices, relies on the moment-map interpretation of the gravitating vortex equations, following closely Donaldson–Wang's abstract proof [50, Theorem 38] of the Matsushima–Lichnerowicz Theorem.

Let L be a holomorphic line bundle over $\mathbb{P}^1$ and $\phi \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, L)$. To simplify the notation, G and $\mathfrak{g}$ will denote the complex Lie group $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1, L, \phi)$ and its Lie algebra $\mathrm{Lie}\,\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1, L, \phi)$,

respectively. Consider the smooth manifold underlying $\mathbb{P}^1$, namely the 2-sphere S^2, and the corresponding almost complex structure J on S^2.

Let ω be a Kähler form on $\mathbb{P}^1$ and h a hermitian metric on L. In Lemma 4.5, we will not suppose that (ω, h) is a solution of the gravitating vortex equations. This lemma gives a convenient formula for the elements of $\operatorname{Lie}\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1, L)$ adapted to the pair (ω, h), and is reminiscent of the Hodge-theoretic description of holomorphic vector fields on compact Kähler manifolds (see e.g. [23, Ch. 2]). As in (3.2), $\operatorname{Lie}\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ will denote the Lie algebra of the extended gauge group of (L, h) and (S^2, ω).

Lemma 4.5. *For any $y \in \operatorname{Lie}\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1, L)$, there exist $\zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in \operatorname{Lie}\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ such that*

$$y = \zeta_1 + I\zeta_2.$$

Proof. Let A be the Chern connection of h on L. We will use the decomposition of

$$y = Ay + A^{\perp}\check{y} \tag{4.6}$$

into its vertical and horizontal components Ay, $A^{\perp}\check{y}$, where $\check{y}$ is the unique holomorphic vector field on $\mathbb{P}^1$ covered by y. Since L is a line bundle, we can make the identification

$$Ay = f\mathbf{1},$$

where $f \in C^{\infty}(S^2, \mathbb{C})$ is a smooth complex function and $\mathbf{1}$ is the tautological vector field on the fibres. Furthermore, as S^2 is simply connected,

$$\check{y} = \check{y}_1 + J\check{y}_2,$$

where $\check{y}_1$ and $\check{y}_2$ are Hamiltonian vector fields on (S^2, ω). Hence, defining the vector fields

$$\zeta_j = if_j\mathbf{1} + A^{\perp}\check{y}_j,$$

for $j = 1, 2$, where $f_1 = \operatorname{Im} f$ and $f_2 = -\operatorname{Re} f$, we obtain the required result. □

We will now apply the decomposition of Lemma 4.5 to elements of $\mathfrak{g} \subset \operatorname{Lie}\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1, L)$.

Lemma 4.6. *Let $y \in \mathfrak{g}$. If (ω, h) is a solution of the gravitating vortex equations, then $\zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in \mathfrak{g}$.*

Proof. By the results of Section 3, if (ω, h) is a solution of the gravitating vortex equations, then the triple $t := (J, A, \phi)$ is a zero of a moment map

$$\mu_{\alpha} \colon \mathcal{T} \to \operatorname{Lie}\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}^*$$

for the action of $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$ on the space of 'integrable triples'

$$\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{J} \times \mathcal{A} \times \Omega^0(L)$$

defined in (3.9). Recall that $\mathcal{T}$ is endowed with a (formally) integrable almost complex structure $\mathbf{I}$, and Kähler metric

$$g_{\alpha} = \omega_{\alpha}(\cdot, \mathbf{I}\cdot)$$

(as $\alpha > 0$), where the compatible symplectic structure ω_{α} is as in (3.7). Given a $\mathbb{C}^*$-invariant smooth vector field v on the total space of L, we denote by $Y_{v|t}$ the infinitesimal action of v on $t = (J, A, \phi)$. Then the proof reduces to show that $Y_{\zeta_1|\tau} = Y_{\zeta_2|\tau} = 0$. To prove this, we note that since the almost complex structure I on L determined by J and A is integrable, we have (see [1, Section 3.2])

$$0 = Y_{y|t} = Y_{\zeta_1 + I\zeta_2|t} = Y_{\zeta_1|t} + \mathbf{I}Y_{\zeta_2|t}.$$

Considering now the norm $\|\cdot\|_\alpha$ on $T_t\mathcal{T}$ induced by the metric g_α, we obtain

$$0 = \|Y_{y|t}\|_\alpha^2 = \|Y_{\zeta_1|t}\|_\alpha^2 + \|Y_{\zeta_2|t}\|_\alpha^2 - 2\omega_\alpha(Y_{\zeta_1|t}, Y_{\zeta_1|t}).$$

Now, $\mu_\alpha(\tau) = 0$ and the moment map μ_α is equivariant, so

$$\omega_\alpha(Y_{\zeta_1|t}, Y_{\zeta_1|t}) = d\langle\mu_\alpha, \zeta_1\rangle(Y_{\zeta_2|t}) = \langle\mu_\alpha(\tau), [\zeta_1, \zeta_2]\rangle = 0,$$

and therefore

$$\|Y_{\zeta_1|t}\|_\alpha^2 = \|Y_{\zeta_2|t}\|_\alpha^2 = 0,$$

so we conclude that $\zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in \mathfrak{g}$, as required. □

Theorem 4.1 is now a formal consequence of Lemma 4.6.

Proof of Theorem 4.1 in genus zero. Considering the $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}$-action on $\mathcal{T}$, we note that the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k} = \operatorname{Lie}\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_t$ of the isotropy group $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_t$ of the triple $t = (J, A, \phi) \in \mathcal{T}$ satisfies

$$\mathfrak{k} \oplus I\mathfrak{k} \subset \mathfrak{g}.$$

Furthermore, the Lie group $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_t$ is compact, because it can be regarded as a closed subgroup of the isometry group of a Riemannian metric on the total space of the frame U(1)-bundle of L (see [1, Section 2.3]). Now, Lemma 4.6 implies that

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus I\mathfrak{k},$$

so $\mathfrak{g}$ is the complexification of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k}$ of a compact Lie group, and hence $\mathfrak{g}$ is a reductive complex Lie algebra. □

4.3. **Proof of Yang's Conjecture.** To apply Theorem 4.1, we will now consider the gravitating vortex equations (3.11) on $\Sigma = \mathbb{P}^1$, with fixed $\alpha > 0$ and $\tau > 0$.

Theorem 4.7. *If ϕ has only one zero, then there are no solutions of the gravitating vortex equations for $(\mathbb{P}^1, L, \phi)$.*

Proof. We make the identification $L = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(N)$, with $N := c_1(L) > 0$, and fix homogeneous coordinates $[x_0, x_1]$ on $\mathbb{P}^1$. Then $H^0(\Sigma, L) \cong S^N(\mathbb{C}^2)^*$ is the space of degree N homogeneous polynomials in the coordinates x_0, x_1, so it is a $\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{C})$-representation, where $g \in \mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{C})$ maps a polynomial $p(x_0, x_1)$ into the polynomial $p(g^{-1}(x_0, x_1))$. Furthermore, $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1) = \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{C})$ and the sequence (4.1) is a short exact sequence

$$1 \to \mathbb{C}^* \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1, L) \xrightarrow{p} \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{C}) \to 1.$$

Here, the third arrow is surjective, since it is the horizontal arrow in a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{C}) & & \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho} & \searrow & \\ \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1, L) & \xrightarrow{p} & \mathrm{PGL}(2,\mathbb{C}), \end{array} \tag{4.7}$$

where the diagonal arrow is the canonical surjective morphism, and the vertical arrow ρ is the canonical $\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{C})$-linearization of L, that is, it is the surjective morphism induced by the $\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{C})$-representation $H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, L)$. Note that an element in the centre, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^* \subset \mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{C})$, acts via ρ on L by fibrewise multiplication by λ^{-N}.

Suppose now $\phi \in H^0(\Sigma, L)$ vanishes at a single point, with multiplicity N, so it can be identified, up to the $\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{C})$-action, with the degree N homogeneous polynomial

$$\phi \cong x_0^N.$$

Computing its isotropy group for the $\mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{C})$-action on the space of degree N homogeneous polynomials, it is straightforward to see that

$$\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1, L, \phi) \cong \mathbb{C}^* \rtimes \mathbb{C},$$

or more explicitly, $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1, L, \phi)$ the image under ρ (see (4.7)) of the subgroup

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ * & * \end{pmatrix} \subset \mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{C}). \tag{4.8}$$

Consequently, $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1, L, \phi)$ is not reductive, and thus the result follows from Theorem 4.1. □

Since the Einstein–Bogomol'nyi equations (2.5) are a particular case of the gravitating vortex equations (2.1) on $\mathbb{P}^1$, Theorem 4.7 settles Yang's Conjecture 1.4 (cf. Remark 5.5).

Corollary 4.8 (Yang's conjecture)**.** *There is no solution of the Einstein–Bogomol'nyi equations for N strings superimposed at a single point, that is, when (L, ϕ) corresponds to a divisor $D = Np$.*

5. A Futaki invariant for gravitating vortices

Relying on the moment map interpretation of the gravitating vortex equations (3.11) provided in Section 3, we apply now the general method in [1, Section 3] to construct a Futaki invariant for the gravitating vortex equations.

5.1. **Definition of the Futaki invariant.** Let Σ be a compact Riemann surface, L a holomorphic line bundle over Σ with $c_1(L) > 0$, and $\phi \in H^0(\Sigma, L)$ a non-zero section. The Futaki invariant is a character of the Lie algebra $\mathrm{Lie}\,\mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma, L, \phi)$ of infinitesimal automorphisms of (Σ, L, ϕ) (see (4.3)). By Proposition 4.3, this Lie algebra is trivial if $g(\Sigma) > 0$, and therefore we assume $\Sigma = \mathbb{P}^1$ throughout this section.

Fix α, τ, and $\mathrm{Vol}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ positive real numbers. We denote by B the space of pairs (ω, h) consisting of a Kähler form ω on $\mathbb{P}^1$ with volume $\mathrm{Vol}(\mathbb{P}^1)$, and a hermitian metric h on L. Throughout Section 5, we will view the gravitating vortex equation (3.11) as equations where the unknowns belong to the space B. Define a map

$$\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\tau} \colon \mathrm{Lie}\,\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1, L, \phi) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}, \tag{5.1}$$

by the following formula, for all $y \in \mathrm{Lie}\,\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1, L, \phi)$, where $(\omega, h) \in B$:

$$\langle \mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\tau}, y \rangle = 4i\alpha \int_{\mathbb{P}^1} A_h y \left(i\Lambda_\omega F_h + \frac{1}{2}|\phi|_h^2 - \frac{\tau}{2} \right) \omega - \int_{\mathbb{P}^1} \varphi \left(S_\omega + \alpha \Delta_\omega |\phi|_h^2 - 2i\alpha\tau \Lambda_\omega F_h \right) \omega. \tag{5.2}$$

Here, A_h is the Chern connection of h on L, $A_h y \in C^\infty(\mathbb{P}^1, i\mathbb{R})$ is the vertical projection of y with respect to A_h, and the complex valued function φ on $\mathbb{P}^1$ is defined as follows. Let $\check{y}$ be the holomorphic vector field on $\mathbb{P}^1$ covered by y and $A^\perp \check{y}$ its horizontal lift to a vector field on the total space of L given by the connection A_h, so y has a decomposition

$$y = Ay + A^\perp \check{y} \tag{5.3}$$

(see (4.6)). Then $\varphi := \varphi_1 + i\varphi_2 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbb{C})$ is determined by the unique decomposition

$$\check{y} = \eta_{\varphi_1} + J\eta_{\varphi_2}$$

associated to the Kähler form ω (see [27]), where η_{φ_j} is the Hamiltonian vector field of the function $\varphi_j \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{P}^1, \omega)$ on $(\mathbb{P}^1, \omega)$ (see (3.3)), for $j = 1, 2$, and J is the almost complex structure of $\mathbb{P}^1$. Note that the previous decomposition uses the fact that $\mathbb{P}^1$ is simply connected.

The non-vanishing of $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\tau}$ is our second obstruction to the existence of gravitating vortices.

Proposition 5.1. *The map $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\tau}$ is independent of the choice of $(\omega, h) \in B$. It is a character of the Lie algebra* $\operatorname{Lie}\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1, L, \phi)$*, that vanishes identically if there exists a solution (ω, h) of the gravitating vortex equations* (3.11) *on $(\mathbb{P}^1, L, \phi)$, with volume* $\operatorname{Vol}(\mathbb{P}^1)$*.*

By analogy with Futaki's obstruction to the existence of Kähler–Einstein metrics [18] $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\tau}$ will be called the *Futaki invariant* for the gravitating vortex equations (3.11), with symmetry breaking parameter τ, coupling constant α, and volume $\operatorname{Vol}(\Sigma)$. Note that the term $\int_{\mathbb{P}^1} \varphi S_\omega \omega$ in (5.2) is in fact the original Futaki character.

Proof. In the framework of Section 3, we consider the C^∞ manifold S^2 underlying the Riemann sphere $\mathbb{P}^1$. For $b = (\omega, h) \in B$, let $\mathcal{T}_b$ be the associated space of 'integrable triples'

$$\mathcal{T}_b \subset \mathcal{J}_\omega \times \mathcal{A}_h \times \Omega^0(L)$$

defined in (3.9), with a distinguised point $t_b = (J, A, \phi)$ given by the triple $(\mathbb{P}^1, L, \phi)$. Recall that $\mathcal{T}_b$ is endowed with a (formally) integrable almost complex structure $\mathbf{I}$, and a Kähler metric (as $\alpha > 0$), with compatible symplectic structure ω_α as in (3.7). Furthermore, there is a Hamiltonian action of the extended gauge group $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_b$ on $\mathcal{T}_b$ such that if $b = (\omega, h)$ is a solution of the gravitating vortex equations, then the triple $t_b = (J, A, \phi)$ is a zero of a moment map (3.10). Then, we can construct a $\mathbb{C}$-linear map

$$\mathcal{F}_b \colon \operatorname{Lie}\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1, L, \phi) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

as in [1, (3.108)]. The explicit formula for this map is obtained as in [1, (3.126)], replacing the moment map formula [1, (2.44)] by (3.10). The proof now follows as for [1, Theorem 3.9].

An alternative proof can be given using [1, Theorem 3.9] and the relation of the gravitating vortex equations with the Kähler–Yang–Mills equations via dimensional reduction [2]. □

5.2. **An application of the Futaki character.** The following result illustrates the non-vanishing of the Futaki character as an obstruction to the existence of gravitating vortices. Contrary to the case of Theorem 4.7 and Yang's Conjecture (Corollary 4.8), it corresponds to a situation in which the automorphism group is reductive (see Lemma 5.3) and so Theorem 4.1 cannot be applied.

Theorem 5.2. *There is no solution of the gravitating vortex equations for $(\mathbb{P}^1, L, \phi)$ with ϕ vanishing exactly at two points with different multiplicities.*

The proof of Theorem 5.2 follows from Proposition 5.1 and a direct calculation of the Futaki invariant on a holomorphic line bundle $L = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(N)$ over $\mathbb{P}^1$. In order to show this, we fix homogeneous coordinates $[x_0, x_1]$ and follow the notation of Section 4.3, so

in particular $H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, L) \cong S^N(\mathbb{C}^2)^*$ is the space of degree N homogeneous polynomials in x_0, x_1. We wish to evaluate the Futaki invariant for $(\mathbb{P}^1, L, \phi)$, when $L = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(N)$ and

$$\phi \cong x_0^{N-\ell} x_1^{\ell}, \tag{5.4}$$

with $0 \leq \ell < N$ (the case $\ell = 0$ corresponds to a Higgs field ϕ that has only one zero).

Lemma 5.3. *If $\ell \neq 0$, the group of automorphisms of $(\mathbb{P}^1, L, \phi)$ is given by the image of the standard maximal torus $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^* \subset \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ under the morphism*

$$\rho_\ell \colon \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^* \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1, L)$$

defined by

$$\rho_\ell(\lambda_0, \lambda_1) = \lambda_0^{N-\ell} \lambda_1^{\ell} \rho(\lambda_0, \lambda_1)$$

where $\lambda_0^{N-\ell}\lambda_1^{\ell}$ acts on L by multiplication on the fibres.

The proof follows from the surjectivity of ρ in (4.7). Using this lemma for $0 < \ell < N$, and (4.8) for $\ell = 0$, the Lie algebra element

$$y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{C}) \tag{5.5}$$

can be identified with an element in $\mathrm{Lie}\,\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1, L, \phi)$ for any $0 \leq \ell < N$.

Lemma 5.4.

$$\langle \mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\tau}, y \rangle = 2\pi i \alpha (2N - \tau)(2\ell - N) \tag{5.6}$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume $\mathrm{Vol}(\mathbb{P}^1) = 2\pi$ in the definition of the Futaki invariant. We will apply formula (5.2) to the pair (ω_{FS}, h^N_{FS}) consisting of the Fubini–Study metric ω_{FS} on $\mathbb{P}^1$, normalized so that $\int_{\mathbb{P}^1} \omega_{FS} = 2\pi$, and the Fubini–Study metric h^N_{FS} on $L = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(N)$. We choose coordinates $z = \frac{x_1}{x_0}$, so that the vector field on $\mathbb{P}^1$ induced by y and the holomorphic section ϕ are

$$\check{y}^{1,0} = z\frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \qquad \phi = z^{\ell}.$$

In these coordinates, we also have

$$\omega_{FS} = \frac{i dz \wedge d\overline{z}}{(1 + |z|^2)^2}, \qquad h^N_{FS} = \frac{1}{(1 + |z|^2)^N},$$

and $y = J\eta_{\varphi_2}$, with global complex potential $\varphi = i\varphi_2$ given by

$$\varphi = \frac{i}{2}\frac{|z|^2 - 1}{|z|^2 + 1}.$$

Hence, by Lemma 5.3, the infinitesimal action of y induces a vector field on the total space of L, also denoted y, with vertical part

$$\begin{aligned} A_{h^N_{FS}} y &= \ell + \iota_{\check{y}^{1,0}} \partial \log h^N_{FS} \\ &= \ell - N\frac{|z|^2}{1 + |z|^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying these formulae in (5.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\tau}, y\rangle &= 4i\alpha \int_{\mathbb{P}^1} A_{h^N_{FS}} y \left(N + \frac{1}{2}|\phi|^2_{h^N_{FS}} - \frac{\tau}{2}\right)\omega_{FS} - \alpha \int_{\mathbb{P}^1} \varphi \Delta_{\omega_{FS}} |\phi|^2_{h_{FS}} \omega_{FS} \\ &= 2i\alpha(2N-\tau)\int_{\mathbb{P}^1} (A_{h^N_{FS}} y)\omega_{FS} + 2\alpha \int_{\mathbb{P}^1} (iA_{h^N_{FS}} y - 2\varphi)|\phi|^2_{h^N_{FS}}\omega_{FS}\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the facts that $i\Lambda_{\omega_{FS}} F_{h^N_{FS}} = N$, $S_{\omega_{FS}}$ is constant, and φ is normalised so that

$$\Delta_{\omega_{FS}}\varphi = 4\varphi,$$

so in particular $\int_{\mathbb{P}^1}\varphi\omega_{FS} = 0$. Using now the explicit formula

$$|\phi|^2_{h^N_{FS}} = \frac{|z|^{2\ell}}{(1+|z|^2)^N},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{P}^1} (A_{h^N_{FS}} y)\omega_{FS} &= \int_{\mathbb{C}} \left(\ell - N\frac{|z|^2}{1+|z|^2}\right)\frac{1}{(1+|z|^2)^2} i dz\wedge d\overline{z} \\ &= 4\pi \int_0^\infty \left(\ell - N\frac{r^2}{1+r^2}\right)\frac{r}{(1+r^2)^2} dr \\ &= 4\pi \left[\frac{2Nr^2 + N - 2(r^2+1)\ell}{4(r^2+1)^2}\right]_0^\infty \\ &= \pi(2\ell - N)\end{aligned}$$

and also, using that $\ell < N$,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{P}^1} (iA_{h^N_{FS}} y - 2\varphi)|\phi|^2_{h^N_{FS}}\omega_{FS} &= i\int_{\mathbb{C}} \left(\ell - N\frac{|z|^2}{1+|z|^2} + \frac{1-|z|^2}{1+|z|^2}\right)\frac{|z|^{2\ell}}{(1+|z|^2)^{N+2}} i dz\wedge d\overline{z} \\ &= 4\pi i \int_0^\infty \left(\ell - N\frac{r^2}{1+r^2} + \frac{1-r^2}{1+r^2}\right)\frac{r^{2\ell+1}}{(1+r^2)^{N+2}} dr \\ &= 2\pi i \left[\frac{r^{2\ell+2}}{(1+r^2)^{2N+2}}\right]_0^\infty \\ &= 0,\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 5.2. This is now a direct consequence of Proposition 5.1 and Lemma 5.4: if there exists a solution of the gravitating vortex equations for $(\mathbb{P}^1, L, \phi)$, then $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\tau} = 0$ and therefore $2\ell = N$ or $\tau = 2N$. The second case is excluded by Theorem 2.2. □

Remark 5.5. Lemma 5.4 combined with Proposition 5.1 provide an alternative proof of Theorem 4.7 and Corollary 4.8. This follows from the fact that if ϕ has only one zero, so it is given by (5.4) with $\ell = 0$, then $\langle \mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\tau}, y\rangle \neq 0$ by Lemma 5.4 with y given by (5.5). Note also that in the same case $\ell = 0$, then we could have chosen another Lie algebra element (see (4.8))

$$y' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

but then $\langle \mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\tau}, y'\rangle = 0$, since $[y, y'] = y'$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\tau}$ is a character by Proposition 5.1.

5.3. **Relation with extremal pairs.** In the case $N = 1$ and $\ell = 0$, there is a simpler proof of the non-vanishing of the Futaki invariant, which is related to a suitable notion of extremal pair (cf. [1, Definition 4.1]). Let ω be a Kähler form on $\mathbb{P}^1$ and h a hermitian metric on L. Associated with the pair (ω, h) and a constant $a \in \mathbb{R}$, we consider a vector field

$$\zeta_{a,\alpha,\tau}(\omega, h) := ai(i\Lambda F_A + \frac{1}{2}|\phi|_h^2 - \frac{\tau}{2})\mathbf{1} + A_h^{\perp}\eta_{\alpha,\tau}$$

on the total space of L, where $\eta_{\alpha,\tau}$ is the Hamiltonian vector field of the smooth function

$$S_\omega + \alpha\Delta_\omega|\phi|_h^2 - 2\alpha\tau i\Lambda_\omega F_h.$$

Note that the vector field $\zeta_{a,\alpha,\tau}(\omega, h)$ is $\mathbb{C}^*$-invariant (actually it belongs to the extended gauge group determined by (ω, h)).

Definition 5.6. The pair (ω, h) is *extremal* if there exists $a \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that

$$\zeta_{a,\alpha,\tau}(\omega, h) \in \operatorname{Lie}\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1, L, \phi),$$

that is, the vector field $\zeta_{a,\alpha,\tau}(\omega, h)$ is holomorphic and preserves ϕ.

The existence of a non-trivial extremal pair (ω, h) with a fixed volume $\mathrm{Vol}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ is an obstruction to the existence of solutions of the gravitating vortex equations with the same volume, where non-triviality means $\zeta_{a,\alpha\,\tau}(\omega, h) \neq 0$ for some $a \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. This follows from Proposition 5.1, because $\zeta_{a,\alpha\,\tau}(\omega, h) \neq 0$ implies

$$\langle \mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\tau}, \zeta_{a,\alpha,\tau}(\omega, h)\rangle < 0,$$

as can be shown by applying formula (5.2) to $y = \zeta_{a,\alpha,\tau}(\omega, h)$, using (ω, h) (cf. [1, Proposition 4.2]).

Proposition 5.7. *The pair (ω_{FS}, h_{FS}) is an extremal pair for $(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1), \phi)$, with $\phi = x_0$.*

Proof. We note that

$$\Delta_{\omega_{FS}}|\phi|_{h_{FS}}^2 = 2\frac{1 - |z|^2}{1 + |z|^2},$$

which is the Hamiltonian for the vector field

$$v = -4iy_1 = 4iz\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \in \operatorname{Lie}\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1), \phi).$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\bar\partial|\phi|_{h_{FS}}^2 = \frac{1}{4}i_{v^{1,0}}\omega_{FS},$$

and hence the result holds for the choice $a = 8$, by Lemma 4.2. □

Remark 5.8. One can compare the definition of extremal pair for the Kähler–Yang–Mills equations in [1, Definition 4.1] with Definition 5.6 via the process of dimensional reduction described in [2, §3.2]. Under this comparison, the former definition corresponds to the latter only for $a = 4$, but clearly the notion of extremal pair for the Kähler–Yang–Mills equations can be generalized to arbitrary $a \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ by considering a modification of the vector field ζ_α (see [1, (4.136)]), with the Hermite–Yang–Mills term multiplied by a, as in Definition 5.6.

6. From gravitating vortices to polystability

In this section, we introduce a notion of geodesic stability for the gravitating vortex equations (Section 6.1), prove our main Theorem 1.2 (Section 6.2), and discuss the role of the Homogeneous Complex Monge–Ampère equation in the problem of existence and uniqueness of gravitating vortices on a compact Riemann surface of arbitrary genus (Section 6.3). Key tools are our description of the Lie algebra of automorphisms of a triple (Σ, L, ϕ) carrying gravitating vortices (Section 4) and the Futaki invariant for the gravitating vortex equations (Section 5).

6.1. **Geodesic stability.** Let Σ be a compact connected Riemann surface, L a holomorphic line bundle over Σ, ϕ a global holomorphic section of L, and $\tau, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\alpha > 0$. Fix $\mathrm{Vol}(\Sigma) > 0$. In this section we construct an obstruction to the existence of solutions of the gravitating vortex equations that is intimately related to the geometry of the infinite-dimensional space B consisting of pairs (ω, h), where ω is a Kähler form on Σ with volume $\mathrm{Vol}(\Sigma)$ and h is a hermitian metric on L. This space has a structure of symmetric space [1, Theorem 3.6], that is, it has a torsion-free affine connection ∇, with holonomy group contained in the extended gauge group (each point of B determines one such group) and covariantly constant curvature. The partial differential equations that define the geodesics (ω_t, h_t) on B, with respect to the connection ∇, are [1, Proposition 3.17]

$$\begin{aligned} dd^c(\ddot{\varphi}_t - (d\dot{\varphi}_t, d\dot{\varphi}_t)_{\omega_t}) &= 0, \\ \ddot{h}_t - 2J\eta_{\dot{\varphi}_t} \lrcorner d\dot{h}_t + iF_{h_t}(\eta_{\dot{\varphi}_t}, J\eta_{\dot{\varphi}_t}) &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

Here, $\omega_t = \omega + dd^c\varphi_t$ with $\varphi_t \in C^\infty(\Sigma)$, and $\eta_{\dot{\varphi}_t}$ is the Hamiltonian vector field of $\dot{\varphi}_t$ with respect to ω_t, that is, given by

$$d\dot{\varphi}_t = \eta_{\dot{\varphi}_t} \lrcorner \omega_t.$$

The long-time existence of smooth geodesics on B — a very hard analytical open problem — has strong consequences for the problem of the gravitating vortex equations (3.11). Assuming existence of smooth geodesic rays, that is, smooth solutions (ω_t, h_t) of (6.1) defined on an infinite interval $0 \leq t < \infty$, with prescribed boundary condition at $t = 0$, one can define a stability condition for the triple (Σ, L, ϕ). Define a 1-form $\sigma_{\alpha,\tau}$ on B by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\alpha,\tau}(\dot{\omega}, \dot{h}) = &- 4\alpha_1 \int_\Sigma \mathrm{tr}\, h^{-1}\dot{h} \wedge (i\Lambda_\omega F_h + \frac{1}{2}|\phi|_h^2 - \frac{\tau}{2})\omega \\ &- \int_\Sigma \dot{\varphi} \left(S_\omega + \alpha\Delta_\omega|\phi|_h^2 - 2\alpha\tau i\Lambda_\omega F_h\right)\omega, \end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

where $(\dot{\omega}, \dot{h})$ is a tangent vector to B at (ω, h), so $\dot{\omega} = dd^c\dot{\varphi}$ with $\dot{\varphi} \in C_0^\infty(\Sigma, \omega)$, that is, $\dot{\varphi}$ is normalised by the condition $\int_\Sigma \dot{\varphi}\omega = 0$. Then $\sigma_{\alpha,\tau}$ vanishes precisely at the pairs $(\omega, h) \in B$ that are solutions of the gravitating vortex equations. As in [1, Proposition 3.8],

$$\frac{d}{dt}\sigma_{\alpha,\tau}(\dot{\omega}_t, \dot{h}_t) \geq 0 \tag{6.3}$$

along a geodesic ray (cf. [1, Proposition 3.10]), with speed controlled by the infinitesimal action of the extended gauge group on the space $\mathcal{T}$ in (3.9) (cf. the proof of [1, Proposition 3.14]), and hence it makes sense to evaluate the *maximal weight*

$$w(\Sigma, L, \phi) := \lim_{t \to +\infty} \sigma_{\alpha,\tau}(\dot{\omega}_t, \dot{h}_t). \tag{6.4}$$

Definition 6.1 (cf. [1, Definition 3.13])**.** The triple (Σ, L, ϕ) is *geodesically semi-stable* if

$$w(\Sigma, L, \phi) \geq 0$$

for every smooth geodesic ray (ω_t, h_t) on B. It is *geodesically stable* if this inequality is strict whenever (ω_t, h_t) is non-constant.

Under the assumption that B is geodesically convex, that is, any two points in B can be joined by a smooth geodesic segment, geodesic semi-stability provides an obstruction to the existence of solutions of the gravitating vortex equations (2.1). Furthermore, this assumption has strong consequences for the uniqueness of solutions (cf. Section 6.3). The next proposition follows from the fact that the quantity $\sigma_{\alpha,\tau}(\dot{b}_t)$ is increasing along geodesics in B (see (6.3)), and $\sigma_{\alpha,\tau}$ vanishes at the solutions $(\omega, h) \in B$ of the gravitating vortex equations.

Proposition 6.2 (cf. [1, Corollary 3.11])**.** *Assume that B is geodesically convex. If there exists a solution of the gravitating vortex equations in B, then (Σ, L, ϕ) is geodesically semi-stable. Furthermore, such a solution is unique modulo the action of* $\mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma, L, \phi)$.

6.2. **The converse of Yang's Existence Theorem.** We are now in a position to prove Theorem 1.2. We start with the observation that the geodesic equation (6.1) is independent of the global section ϕ (it is the geodesic equation already considered in the Kähler–Yang–Mills problem [1]), so one obtains a wealth of geodesic rays starting at any point of B.

Lemma 6.3. *Let $(\omega, h) \in B$. Then, any $\zeta \in \mathrm{Lie}\,\mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma, L)$ determines a smooth geodesic ray in B starting at (ω, h), given by*

$$b_t = (\omega_t, h_t) = (g_t^* \omega, g_t^* h), \tag{6.5}$$

where $g_t \in \mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma, L)$ is the flow of ζ, with initial condition $g_0 = \mathrm{Id}$.

We now restrict ourselves to the case $\Sigma = \mathbb{P}^1$, and evaluate the maximal weight (6.4) on a geodesic ray of the form (6.5). Since $\Sigma = \mathbb{P}^1$ and L are fixed, throughout Section 6.2 we will denote by $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\tau}(\phi)$ the Futaki invariant defined in Section 5, corresponding to a triple $(\mathbb{P}^1, L, \phi)$.

Lemma 6.4. *Let $(\omega, h) \in B$ and $\zeta \in \mathrm{Lie}\,\mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma, L)$. Assume that the limit*

$$\phi_0 := \lim_{t \to +\infty} g_t \cdot \phi$$

exists, where $g_t \in \mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma, L)$ is the flow of ζ, with initial condition $g_0 = \mathrm{Id}$. Then the maximal weight of $(\mathbb{P}^1, L, \phi)$, evaluated at the geodesic ray (6.5) starting at (ω, h), is

$$w(\mathbb{P}^1, L, \phi) = \mathrm{Im}\,\langle \mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\tau}(\phi_0), \zeta \rangle. \tag{6.6}$$

Note that the right-hand side of (6.6) is well defined, that is, $\zeta \in \mathrm{Lie}\,\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1, L, \phi_0)$, because by the hypothesis of the lemma, ϕ_0 is fixed by the one-parameter subgroup induced by ζ.

Proof. By Lemma 4.6, any $\zeta \in \mathrm{Lie}\,\mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma, L)$ admits a unique decomposition

$$\zeta = \zeta_1 + I\zeta_2, \tag{6.7}$$

where ζ_1, ζ_2 are in the Lie algebra of the extended gauge group of (ω, h). Furthermore, any $(\omega, h) \in B$ determines a space $\mathcal{T}$ with a moment map μ_α as in Proposition 3.2, and using the decomposition (6.7) and a change of variable in (6.2) (cf. [1, (3.104)]), we obtain

$$\sigma_{\alpha,\tau}(\dot{b}_t) = \langle \mu_\alpha(J, A, g_t \cdot \phi), \zeta_2 \rangle, \tag{6.8}$$

where $g_t \cdot (J, A, \phi) = (J, A, g_t \cdot \phi) \in \mathcal{T}$, as $g_t \in \mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma, L)$. We observe now that the proof of [1, Proposition 3.8] works for the 1-form $\sigma_{\alpha,\tau}$ (which does depend on ϕ), so

$$\frac{d}{dt}\sigma_{\alpha,\tau}(\dot{b}_t) = \|Y_{\zeta_2|(J,A,g_t\cdot\phi)}\|^2 \geq 0 \tag{6.9}$$

(cf. [1, (3.113)]), where $Y_{\zeta_2|(J,A,g_t\cdot\phi)}$ denotes the infinitesimal action of ζ_2 on $(J, A, g_t\cdot\phi) \in \mathcal{T}$, and the norm is given by the Kähler form on $\mathcal{T}$ described in Section 3 — it is a positive definite norm precisely because $\alpha > 0$ (note that (6.3) follows from (6.9)). The proof of the lemma is now straightforward from (6.8) and the definition of the Futaki invariant (5.2). □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. As in the proof of Theorem 4.7, we make the identification $L = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(N)$, with $N := c_1(L) > 0$, and use homogeneous coordinates $[x_0, x_1]$ on $\mathbb{P}^1$, so $H^0(\Sigma, L)$ is the space of degree N homogeneous polynomials in the coordinates x_0, x_1. Assume that ϕ is not polystable. Then there exists a 1-parameter subgroup

$$\lambda\colon \mathbb{C}^* \longrightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \subset \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1, L),$$

and a suitable choice of homogeneneous coordinates, such that (up to rescaling)

$$\phi_0 = \lim_{t\to+\infty} \lambda(e^{-t}) \cdot \phi = x_0^{N-\ell} x_1^{\ell},$$

with non-positive Hilbert–Mumford weight, that is,

$$N - 2\ell \leq 0 \tag{6.10}$$

(cf. e.g. the proof of [46, Theorem 3.10]). Consider the geodesic ray $b_t = \lambda(e^t)^*(\omega, h)$. The corresponding maximal weight is

$$w(\mathbb{P}^1, L, \phi) = \mathrm{Im}\,\langle \mathcal{F}_{\alpha,\tau}(\phi_0), \zeta\rangle,$$

by Lemma 6.4, with

$$\zeta = \begin{pmatrix} N-2\ell-1 & 0 \\ 0 & N-2\ell+1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and therefore Lemma 5.4 implies

$$w(\mathbb{P}^1, L, \phi) = 4\pi\alpha(\tau - 2N)(N - 2\ell). \tag{6.11}$$

Assume now $(\mathbb{P}^1, L, \phi)$ admits a solution (ω, h) of the gravitating vortex equations, that is, $\sigma_{\alpha,\tau}$ vanishes at (ω, h). Then $\sigma_{\alpha,\tau}(\dot{b}_0) = 0$ and (6.3) imply that for any geodesic ray,

$$w(\mathbb{P}^1, L, \phi) \geq 0. \tag{6.12}$$

Moreover, Theorem 2.2 implies

$$\tau - 2N > 0. \tag{6.13}$$

However, if the inequality (6.10) is strict, i.e. $N - 2\ell < 0$, then $w(\mathbb{P}^1, L, \phi) < 0$, by (6.11) and (6.13), contradicting (6.12). In the remaining case $N - 2\ell = 0$, $w(\mathbb{P}^1, L, \phi) = 0$ by (6.11), which combined with (6.9) and $\sigma_{\alpha,\tau}(\dot{b}_0) = 0$, imply that ζ fixes ϕ, so $\phi = \phi_0$, but this cannot happen because ϕ_0 is polystable. □

6.3. **A conjecture about uniqueness and the moduli space of gravitating vortices.** The contents of Sections 6.1 and 6.2, especially Proposition 6.2, suggest an approach to the uniqueness problem for the gravitating vortex equations on a compact Riemann surface Σ of arbitrary genus. To the knowledge of the authors, this problem has not been explored so far, even for the Einstein–Bogomol'nyi equations (for which $\Sigma = \mathbb{P}^1$). This approach rests on the geometry of the infinite-dimensional space B, and the closely related space $\mathcal{K}$ of Kähler forms on Σ with fixed volume $\mathrm{Vol}(\Sigma)$. The space B is a symmetric space, as briefly reviewed in Section 6.1, and the space $\mathcal{K}$ is a Riemannian symmetric space, as shown by Semmes [42] and rediscovered by Mabuchi [32] and Donaldson [16]. Since the geodesic equation on $\mathcal{K}$ is the first equation in (6.1), i.e. the map

$$B \longrightarrow \mathcal{K},$$

given by $(\omega, h) \longmapsto \omega$, is a geodesic submersion, one cannot expect in general existence of smooth geodesic segments on B with arbitrary boundary conditions, by results of Lempert and Vivas [28] about the geometry of $\mathcal{K}$. Hence one cannot expect either that a direct application of Proposition 6.2 will work in the uniqueness problem for the gravitating vortex equations. Here we propose a possible way to circumvent this difficulty.

As shown by Donaldson [16] and Semmes [42], for a suitable choice of Riemann surface D, the geodesic equation on $\mathcal{K}$ reduces to a homogeneous complex Monge–Ampère equation on the complex surface $\Sigma \times D$. This method has been fruitfully applied in the context of the problem for constant scalar curvature Kähler metrics [6, 8, 12, 39]. We expect that these results, and in particular the recent proof of the uniqueness of constant scalar curvature Kähler metrics by Berman and Berndtsson [4], can be adapted to the context of the geodesic equation (6.1). In light of this, the following conjecture seems reasonable.

Conjecture 6.5. *Given $\alpha > 0$, if τ satisfies* (2.4) *and ϕ is polystable, then there exists a unique solution of the gravitating vortex equations on $(\mathbb{P}^1, L, \phi)$ modulo automorphisms.*

A proof of Conjecture 6.5, combined with Theorem 1.3, would lead to the following explicit description of the moduli space of solutions of the Einsten–Bogomol'nyi equations.

Conjecture 6.6. *The moduli space of solutions of the degree-N Einstein–Bogomol'nyi equations is biholomorphic to the GIT quotient*

$$S^N\mathbb{P}^1 /\!\!/ \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}). \tag{6.14}$$

Furthermore, it is reasonable to hope that Yang's Existence Theorem 1.1 for the Einstein–Bogomol'nyi equations holds for the more general gravitating vortex equations on $\mathbb{P}^1$ in the case $\alpha > 0$. This result, combined with Conjecture 6.5, would provide an explicit description of the moduli space of gravitating vortices on $\mathbb{P}^1$, exactly as in Conjecture 6.6.

Note that the biholomorphism of Conjecture 6.6 would show an intriguing link between the physics of cosmic strings and the classical theory of binary quantics [35, 43].

Regarding the existence problem when Σ has genus bigger than zero, we expect that the gravitating vortex equations always admit a solution for $\alpha > 0$ (provided that the inequality (2.4) is satisfied). Resolving this conjecture in the affirmative would draw a parallel between the existence problem for the gravitating vortex equations and the Kähler–Einstein problem, where stability only plays a role in the Fano case [3, 9, 58, 59] (i.e. positive canonical bundle).

References

[1] L. Álvarez-Cónsul, M. García-Fernández and O. García-Prada, *Coupled equations for Kähler metrics and Yang–Mills connections*, Geom. Top. **17** (2013) 2731–2812.

[2] ———, *Gravitating vortices, cosmic strings, and the Kähler–Yang–Mills equations*, to appear in Comm. Math. Phys., `arXiv:1510.03810`, 2015.

[3] T. Aubin, *Équations du type de Monge-Ampère sur les variétés kählériennes compactes*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris **A 283** (1976) 119–121.

[4] R. Berman and B. Berndtsson, *Convexity of the K-energy on the space of Kahler metrics and uniqueness of extremal metrics*. Preprint `arXiv:1405.0401`, 2014.

[5] R. Berman, S. Boucksom and M. Jonsson, *A variational approach to the Yau–Tian–Donaldson Conjecture*. Preprint `arXiv:1509.04561`, 2015.

[6] Z. Błocki, *On geodesics in the space of Kähler metrics*. Advances in geometric analysis, pp. 3–19, Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM) **21**, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2012.

[7] S. Bradlow, *Vortices in Holomorphic Line Bundles over Closed Kähler Manifolds*, Comm. Math. Phys. **135** (1990) 1–17.

[8] X. X. Chen, *The space of Kähler metrics*, J. Differential Geom. **56** (2000) 189–234.

[9] X. X. Chen, S. K. Donaldson and S. Sun, *Kähler–Einstein metrics and stability*, Int. Math Res. Notices **8** (2014) 2119–2125.

[10] X. Chen, S. Hastings, J. McLeod and Y. Yang, *A nonlinear elliptic equation arising from gauge field theory and cosmology*, Proc. R. Soc. London. Series A **446** (1994) 453–458.

[11] X. X. Chen, S. Sun and B. Wang, *Kähler-Ricci flow, Kähler–Einstein metric, and K-stability*, Preprint `arXiv:1508.04397`, 2015.

[12] X. X. Chen and G. Tian, *Geometry of Kähler metrics and foliations by holomorphic discs*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **107** (2008) 1–107.

[13] A. Comtet and G. Gibbons, *Bogomol'nyi bounds for cosmic strings*, Nuc. Phys. **B299** (1988) 719–733.

[14] V. Datar and G. Székelyhidi, *Kähler-Einstein metrics along the smooth continuity method*, Preprint `arXiv:1506.07495`, 2015.

[15] S. K. Donaldson, *Anti-self-dual Yang–Mills connections on a complex algebraic surface and stable vector bundles*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **50** (1985) 1–26.

[16] ———, *Symmetric spaces, Kähler geometry and Hamiltonian Dynamics*, in 'Northern California Symplectic Geometry Seminar' (Y. Eliashberg et al. eds.), Amer. Math. Soc., 1999, 13–33.

[17] ———, *Scalar curvature and projective embeddings, I*, J. Differential Geom. **59** (2001) 479–522.

[18] A. Futaki, *An obstruction to the existence of Einstein Kähler metrics*, Invent. Math. **73** (1983) 437–443.

[19] M. Garcia-Fernandez, *Coupled equations for Kähler metrics and Yang–Mills connections*. PhD Thesis. Instituto de Ciencias Matemáticas (CSIC-UAM-UC3M-UCM), Madrid, 2009, `arXiv:1102.0985 [math.DG]`.

[20] M. Garcia-Fernandez and J. Ross, *Limits of balanced metrics in vector bundles and polarised manifolds*. Preprint `arXiv:1111.2819 [math.DG]`, 2011.

[21] O. García-Prada, *Invariant connections and vortices*, Commun. Math. Phys., **156** (1993) 527–546.

[22] ———, *A direct existence proof for the vortex equations over a compact Riemann surface*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **26** (1994) 88–96.

[23] P. Gauduchon, *Calabi's extremal Kähler metrics: An elementary introduction*, 2015.

[24] V. L. Ginzburg and L. D. Landau, *On the theory of superconductivity*, Zh. Eksp. Theor. Fiz. **20** (1950) 1064.

[25] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*. Springer, New York-Heidelberg, 1977.

[26] A. M. Jaffe and C. H. Taubes, *Vortices and monopoles: structure of static gauge theories*. Birkhäuser, Boston, 1980.

[27] C. LeBrun and R. Simanca, *Extremal Kähler metrics and complex deformation theory*, Geometric and Functional Analysis **4** (1994) 298–336.

[28] L. Lempert and L. Vivas, *Geodesics in the space of Kähler metrics*, Duke Math. J. (7) **162** (2013) 1369–1381.

[29] A. Lichnerowicz, *Sur les transformations analytiques des variétés kählériennes*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **244** (1957) 3011–3014.

[30] B. Linet, *A vortex-line model for infinite straight cosmic strings in equilibrium*, Phys. Let. **A 124** (1987) 240–242.
[31] ———, *On the supermassive* U(1) *gauge cosmic strings*, Class. Quantum Grav. **7** (1990) L75–L79.
[32] T. Mabuchi, *Some symplectic geometry on compact Kähler manifolds (I)*, Osaka J. Math. **24** (1987) 227–252.
[33] Y. Matsushima, *Sur la structure du group d'homéomorphismes analytiques d'une certaine variété Kählérienne*, Nagoya Math J. **11** (1957) 145–150.
[34] A. Morimoto, *Sur le groupe d'automorphismes d'un espace fibré principal analytique complexe*, Nagoya Math. J. **13** (1958) 157–168.
[35] D. Mumford, J. Fogarty and F. Kirwan, *Geometric Invariant Theory*, Third enlarged edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.
[36] M. S. Narasimhan and C. S. Seshadri, *Stable and unitary vector bundles on a compact Riemann surface*, Ann. of Math. **82** (1965) 540–567.
[37] H. B. Nielsen and P. Olesen, *Vortex-line models for dual strings*, Nuclear Phys. B **61**, (1973) 45–61.
[38] M. Noguchi, *Yang–Mills–Higgs theory on a compact Riemann surface*, J. Math. Phys. **28** (1987) 2343–2346.
[39] J. Ross and D. Witt Nyström, *Harmonic discs of solutions to the complex homogeneous Monge–Ampère equation*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. (2015) 1–21.
[40] J. Spruck and Y. Yang, *Cosmic String solutions of the Einstein-matter-gauge equations*, Research Report No. 92-NA-032, 1992 (unpublished).
[41] ———, *Regular stationary solutions of the cylindrically symmetric Einstein-matter-gauge equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **195** (1995) 160–190.
[42] S. Semmes, *Complex Monge–Ampère and symplectic manifolds*, Amer. J. Math. **114** (1992) 495–550.
[43] J. J. Sylvester, *Tables of the Generating Functions and Groundforms for the Binary Quantics of the First Ten Orders*, American J. Math. **2** (1879), 223–251.
[44] C. H. Taubes, *Arbitrary N-vortex solutions to the first order Ginzburg–Landau equations*, Comm. Math. Phys. **72** (1980), no. 3, 277–292.
[45] ———, *On the Equivalence of the First and Second Order Equations for Gauge Theories*, Comm. Math. Phys. **75** (1980) 207–227.
[46] R. P. Thomas, *Notes on GIT and symplectic reduction for bundles and varieties*. In: Surveys in Differential Geometry **10**: A Tribute to Professor S.-S. Chern, (2006). Preprint `arXiv:0512411`.
[47] G. Tian, *K-stability and Kähler–Einstein metrics*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 68 (2015) 1085–1156.
[48] C. Tipler and C. van Coevering, *Deformations of Constant Scalar Curvature Sasakian Metrics and K-Stability*, Comm. Int Math Res Notices (2015), to appear.
[49] K. K. Uhlenbeck and S.-T. Yau, *On the existence of Hermitian–Yang–Mills connections on stable bundles over compact Kähler manifolds*, Comm. Pure and Appl. Math. **39-S** (1986) 257–293; **42** (1989) 703–707.
[50] X. Wang, *Moment map, Futaki invariant and stability of projective manifolds*, Communications in analysis and geometry, **12** (2004) 1009–1038.
[51] E. Witten, *Some Exact Multipseudoparticle Solutions of Classical Yang–Mills Theory*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **38** (1977) 121.
[52] Y. Yang, *An equivalence theorem for string solutions of the Einstein-matter-gauge equations*, Lett. Math. Phys.. **26** (1992) 79–90.
[53] ———, *Obstructions to the existence of static cosmic strings in an Abelian Higgs model*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73** (1994) 10–13.
[54] ———, *Self duality of the gauge field equations and the cosmological constant*, Comm. Math. Phys. **162** (1994) 481–498.
[55] ———, *Prescribing Topological Defects for the Coupled Einstein and Abelian Higgs Equations*, Comm. Math. Phys. **170** (1995) 541–582.
[56] ———, *Static cosmic strings on S^2 and criticality*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. **A453** (1997) 581–591.
[57] ———, *Solitons in field theory and nonlinear analysis*, Springer-Verlag, 2001.
[58] S.-T. Yau, *Calabi's conjecture and some new results in algebraic geometry*, Proc. Natl.. Acad. Sci. USA **74** (1977) 1798–1799.
[59] ———, *On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge–Ampère equation. I*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 31 (1978) 339–411.

Instituto de Ciencias Matemáticas (CSIC-UAM-UC3M-UCM), Nicolás Cabrera 13–15, Cantoblanco, 28049 Madrid, Spain

E-mail address: l.alvarez-consul@icmat.es

E-mail address: mario.garcia@icmat.es

E-mail address: oscar.garcia-prada@icmat.es